COLE & VAN NOTE  ATTORNEY'S AT LAW 555 12" STREET, SUITE 2100 OAKLAND, CA 94807 TEL: (510) 891-9800	1 2 3	Scott Edward Cole, Esq. (S.B. #160744) Laura Grace Van Note, Esq. (S.B. #310160) Mark T. Freeman, Esq. (S.B. #293721)  COLE & VAN NOTE  555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2100 Oakland, California 94607 Telephone: (510) 891-9800 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030 Email: sec@colevannote.com Email: lvn@colevannote.com Email: mtf@colevannote.com	RECEIVED December 24, 2024
	4		F L E D
	5		JAN 2.4 2025 Clerk of the Superior Court
	6		
	7	Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff and the Settlement Class	By: B. Orihuela, Deputy
	8		
	9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
	11		
	12	FLORENCIO RAMOS, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 37-2022-00034482-CU-NP-CTL
	13		CLASS ACTION
	14		[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT:
	15	SAN DIEGO AMERICAN INDIAN HEALTH CENTER and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	<ul> <li>(1) GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT;</li> <li>(2) AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS TO CLASS COUNSEL;</li> <li>(3) AWARDING A SERVICE AWARD TO THE REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFF; AND</li> <li>(4) AWARDING REIMBURSEMENT OF</li> </ul>
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS
	20		00010
	21		Date: January 24, 2025 Time: 9:30 a.m.
	22		Dept.: 66 Judge: Hon. Wendy M. Behan
	23		Complaint Filed: August 26, 2022
	24		Trial Date: None Set
	25		
	26	This matter came before the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County	
	27	of San Diego, Department 66, at 9:30 a.m. on January 24, 2025, with Cole & Van Note appearing	
	28	as counsel for Representative Plaintiff	Florencio Ramos ("Plaintiff" or the "Class

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Representative"), individually, and on behalf of the Settlement Class, and Greenberg Traurig, LLP appearing for Defendant San Diego American Indian Health Center ("Defendant"). The Court, having carefully considered the briefs, argument of counsel and all matters presented to the Court and good cause appearing, hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

### **FINDINGS**

Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the Motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the proposed Settlement Agreement ("Agreement").
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the above-captioned litigation and over all parties to this litigation, including the Settlement Class.

# **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement**

3. On September 20, 2024, this Court granted preliminary approval of a class-wide settlement. At this same time, the Court approved certification of a provisional Settlement Class for settlement purposes only.

#### Notice to the Plaintiff Class

- 4. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed by first class mail to the Settlement Class Members at their last known addresses on or about October 25, 2024. Mailing the Class Notice to their last known addresses was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class.
- 5. According to the Claims Administrator, there are 642 members of the Settlement Class who will receive a benefit from a Settlement Claim. The deadline for opting out or objecting has passed and there are no Settlement Class Members who have done so. There was an adequate interval between mailing of the Notice and the deadline to permit Settlement Class Members to choose what to do and act on their decision.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### Fairness of the Settlement

- 6. The Agreement is entitled to a presumption of fairness. Dunk v. Ford Motor Co., 48 Cal.App.4th 1794, 1801 (1996).
  - 7. There has been no collusion between the parties in reaching the proposed settlement.
- 8. Plaintiff's investigation and discovery have been sufficient to allow the Court and counsel to act intelligently.
- 9. Counsel for both parties have experience in similar data breach class action litigation. All counsel recommended approval of the Agreement.
- 10. The consideration to be given to the Settlement Class Members under the terms of the Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate considering the strengths and weaknesses of the claims asserted in this action and is fair, reasonable, and adequate compensation for the release of Settlement Class Members' claims, given the uncertainties and risks of the litigation and the delays which would ensue from continued prosecution of the action.
- 11. The proposed Agreement is approved as fair, adequate, reasonable and in the best interests of Settlement Class Members.

### Attorneys' Fees and Costs

- 12. The Agreement provides for (and Class Counsel seeks) an award of \$115,500 to Class Counsel as attorneys' fees, plus \$2,404.53 for reasonable expenses in this action.
- 13. The award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses are reasonable, in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fees, the substantial amount of work actually performed such that Class Counsel will not receive a windfall incommensurate with the time and effort dedicated to the case, the risks assumed, the results achieved by Class Counsel, and due to the significant amount of work Class Counsel anticipates post-final approval of the settlement.

## Service Award

14. The Agreement provides for a Service Award of up to \$5,000 for the Representative Plaintiff Florencio Ramos, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds this Service Award reasonable considering the risks and burdens undertaken by Representative Plaintiff in this action

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and for her time and effort in bringing and prosecuting this matter on behalf of the Settlement Class.

### **Reimbursement of Settlement Administration Costs**

15. The Agreement provides for reimbursement of CPT Group's Settlement administration of \$44,500, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds this Reimbursement reasonable considering the work required to send the Notice, process settlement payments, establish and update a settlement website and communicate extensively with Class Members and Class Counsel.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Settlement Class is hereby defined as: "All individuals whose Personal Information was actually or potentially accessed during the Security Incident." Excluded from the Settlement Class are officers of Defendant, the judges presiding over the Action and members of their immediate family, and Class Members who submit a Request for Exclusion.
- 2. The Agreement is hereby finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.
- 3. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$115,500, and \$2,404.53 for reasonable expenses. Class Counsel shall not seek or obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiff, or members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. Payment of a Service Award in the amount of \$5,000 shall be awarded to Plaintiff Florencio Ramos.
  - 5. CPT Group shall be reimbursed up to \$44,500 for Settlement Administration Costs.
- 6. A Final Judgment in this action is hereby entered and this shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h).
- 7. This Final Judgment shall bind each Settlement Class Member and shall operate as a full release and discharge of the Released Claims against the Released Parties. All rights to appeal the Final Judgment have been waived. This Final Judgment and Final Approval Order shall have res

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 8. The Agreement and Settlement are not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval Order a finding, of the validity of any claims in this action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released Parties may file in this case or any other proceeding this Final Approval Order, this Final Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the case as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Claims. Nothing set forth in this Order shall be construed to modify the absolute obligation of the Representative Plaintiff to dismiss with prejudice, upon payment of the settlement amount set forth in the Agreement, all her claims set forth in this Action.
- 9. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment to individual Settlement Class Members, which shall be posted on the settlement website. The time for any appeal shall run from service of notice of entry of the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment by Class Counsel on Defendant.
- 10. After entry of this Order and Final Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement and enforce the Agreement and this Judgment, to hear and resolve any

contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

11. In the event the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement or is terminated, cancelled or otherwise fails to become effective for any reason, then this Final Approval Order and Final Judgment and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.

12. A Compliance Hearing is hereby set for 4/25/25 a.m. in Department 66 of this Court. At least ten (10) court days before the Compliance Hearing, Class Counsel shall submit a Case Management Conference Statement, accompanied by a Declaration from the Claims Administrator (including a summary accounting identifying the distributions made, the number and value of any uncashed checks, the status of any unresolved issues, and any other matters appropriate to evaluate the effectiveness and completeness of the distribution).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1 24 25

By: Hon. Wendy M. Behan
Judge of the Superior Court